

Natural Resources Conservation Service

**Application Ranking Summary
Yakima Integrated Plan - Toppenish to Teanaway**

Program: RCPP-EQIP 2014	Ranking Date:
National Issue Questions	Responses
If the application is for development of a Conservation Activity Plan (CAP), the agency will assign significant ranking priority and conservation benefit by answering “Yes” to the following question. Answering “Yes” to question 1a will result in the application being awarded the maximum amount of points that can be earned for the national priority category.	
1. a. Is the program application to support the development of a Conservation Activity Plan (CAP)? If answer is “Yes”, do not answer any other national level questions. If answer is “No”, proceed with evaluation to address the remaining questions in this section.	250 Point(s)
Water Quality Degradation – Will the proposed project improve water quality by: (select all that apply)	
2. a. Implementing the practices in a Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CNMP)?	15 Point(s)
2. b. Implementing the practices in a Nutrient Management Plan (NMP)?	10 Point(s)
2. c. Reducing impacts from sediment, nutrients, salinity, or pesticides on land adjoining a designated “impaired water body” (TMDL, 303d listed waterbody, or other State designation)?	10 Point(s)
2. d. Reducing the impacts from sediment, nutrients, salinity, or pesticides in a “non-impaired water body”?	10 Point(s)
2. e. Implementing practices that improve water quality through animal mortality and carcass management?	10 Point(s)
Water Conservation – Will the proposed project conserve water by: (select all that apply)	
3. a. Implementing irrigation practices that reduce aquifer overdraft.	15 Point(s)
3. b. Implementing irrigation practices that reduce on-farm water use?	10 Point(s)
3. c. Implementing practices in an area where the applicant participates in a geographically established or watershed-wide project?	10 Point(s)
3. d. Implementing practices that reduce on-farm water use as a result of changing to crops with lower water consumptive use, the rotation of crops, or the modification of cultural operations?	10 Point(s)
Air Quality - Will the proposed project improve air quality by: (select all that apply)	
4. a. Meeting on-farm regulatory requirements relating to air quality or proactively avoid the need for regulatory measures?	10 Point(s)
4. b. Implementing practices that reduce on-farm emissions of particulate matter (PM2.5, PM10)?	10 Point(s)
4. c. Implementing practices that reduce on-farm generated greenhouse gases such as carbon dioxide (CO2), methane (CH4), and nitrous oxide (N2O)?	10 Point(s)
4. d. Implementing practices that increase on-farm carbon sequestration?	10 Point(s)
Soil Health:– Will the proposed project improve soil health by: (select all that apply)	
5. a. Reduce erosion to tolerable limits (Soil “T”)?	10 Point(s)
5. b. Increasing organic matter and carbon content, and improving soil tilth and structure?	10 Point(s)
Wildlife Habitat – Will the proposed project improve wildlife habitat by: (select all that apply)	
6. a. Implementing practices benefitting threatened and endangered, at-risk, candidate, or species of concern.	10 Point(s)
6. b. Implementing practices that retain wildlife and plant habitat on land exiting the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP) or other set-aside program?	10 Point(s)
6. c. Implementing practices benefitting honey bee populations or other pollinators?	10 Point(s)
6. d. Implementing land-based practices that improve habitat for aquatic wildlife?	10 Point(s)
Plant and Animal Communities: Will the proposed project improve plant and animal communities by: (select all that apply)	
7. a. Implementing practices that result in the management control of noxious or invasive plant species on non-cropland?	10 Point(s)

7. b. Implementing practice in an Integrated Pest Management Plan (IPM)?	10 Point(s)
Energy Conservation– Will the proposed project reduce energy use by: (select all that apply)	
8. a. Reducing on-farm energy consumption?	10 Point(s)
8. b. Implementing practice(s) identified in an approved AgEMP or energy audit, which meet ASABE S612 criteria?	10 Point(s)
Business Lines – Will the practices to be scheduled in the “EQIP Plan of Operations” result in:	
9. a. Enhancement of existing conservation practice(s) or conservation systems already in place at the time the application is received?	10 Point(s)

State Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
If the application is for development of a Conservation Activity Plan (CAP), the agency will assign significant ranking priority and conservation benefit by answering “Yes” to the following question. Answering “Yes” to question 1a will result in the application being awarded the maximum amount of points that can be earned for the state priority category	
1. a. Is the program application to support the development of a Conservation Activity Plan (CAP)? If answer is “Yes”, do not answer any other state level questions. If answer is “No”, proceed with evaluation to address the remaining questions in this section.	100 Point(s)
Plan maps will clearly identify all contracted CINs and use official NRCS NPPH symbols. Only award points for conservation practices or activities that will be financially assisted in this EQIP contract. Changing or adjusting practices or extents after the application has been submitted for evaluation to achieve a better ranking score is not allowed in EQIP.	
1. 1 Will contracted practices assist the applicant in complying with at least one of the following: AFO/CFO, Tribal or Forest Practices Act laws and regulations, Water quality compliance on DOE designated water bodies, The Food Quality Protection Act? Identify: _____, _____	5 Point(s)
2. 2 Will the contract assist the applicant in implementing an existing comprehensive RMS plan (signed after 10-17-2007) that has been developed for the participant's entire Ag Operation(s)? (Forest Stewardship plan must meet the requirements for RMS to receive points) 15	15 Point(s)
3. 3 If selected for contracting, this application will allow the participant to become a first time adopter using all of the planned practices included on the AD-1155. Consider adopted practices on all associated agricultural operation(s) that they are involved in. 20	20 Point(s)
4. 4 Answer YES if the State Conservationist has provided approval to rank applications for this pool that are screened as Medium and this application has been screened as such (medium). -200	-200 Point(s)
5. 5 Degree of conservation benefit: There are CINs included in the application that only address a resource concern that already meets planning criteria. Or, there are CINs included in this application that do not significantly treat a resource concern as identified on the completed NRCS-CPA-52. Technical specialists will define what significant resource treatment means for each concern. Example: Erosion exceeds 4 tons and treatment reduces it to below 2 tons. -100	-100 Point(s)
Tribal resource concern treatment:	
6. 6 Regardless of location, do all of the practices treat a Tribal resource concern according to the TRA? https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/main/wa/technical/ 5	5 Point(s)
7. 7 Are the practices on, or adjacent to (physically touching) Tribal lands? If so, do all the practices treat the resource concerns identified in the TRA? https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/main/wa/technical/ 5	5 Point(s)
Likelihood that applicant will complete and maintain contracted practices:	
8. 8 The applicant did NOT properly operate and maintain previously cost-shared practices, or has prior FY14 or older EQIP contract(s) that are not in completed status at time of application cutoff. Use applicant interviews, staff knowledge and ProTracts searches to make determination. This includes all participants applying as individuals and imbedded members of entities, but excludes delays due to NRCS or are outside of the control of the participant. -50	-50 Point(s)

9. 9 Applicant has been issued an NRCS-CPA-153 on a current or prior NRCS contract(s). This includes all participants applying as individuals and imbedded members of entities, but excludes delays due to NRCS or are outside of the control of the participant. -30	-30 Point(s)
10. 10 Applicant has a current or past contract that needed to be modified due to a delay in implementation of their practice schedule. This includes all participants applying as individuals and imbedded members of entities, but excludes delays due to NRCS or are outside of the control of the participant. -15	-15 Point(s)
11. 11 Cancelled contract: Applicant voluntarily requested cancellation of an obligated contract within the last three years. (FY15, FY16 or FY17) and the status of the cancellation hardship is not documented as being resolved. Example of a resolved hardship would be: The participant has fully recovered from the health issue which necessitated the cancellation. Re-applying in hopes to receive a higher cost-share rate, or hold-down, should not be considered resolving the cancellation hardship. -35	-35 Point(s)
12. 12 Applicant was pre-approved for a contract during FY17 and chose to cancel prior to obligation. -30	-30 Point(s)
Contracted Practices:	
13. 13 Application has a livestock type tagged on the application home screen. Or, includes at least one of the following wildlife practices. • CP 327 – Conservation Cover • CP 390 – Riparian Herbaceous Cover • CP 391 – Riparian Forest Buffer • CP 395 – Stream Habitat Improvement and Management • CP 396 – Aquatic Organism Passage • CP 422 – Hedgerow Planting • CP 472 – Access Control • CP 580 – Streambank and Shoreline Protection • CP 643 – Restoration and Management of Rare or Declining Habitats • CP 644 – Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management • CP 645 – Upland Wildlife Habitat Management • CP 646 – Shallow Water Development and Management • CP 647 – Early Successional Habitat Development/Management • CP 657 – Wetland Restoration • CP 658 – Wetland Creation • CP 659 – Wetland Enhancement 15	15 Point(s)
14. 14 AD-1155 contains only practices that are identified on the current SHPO/THPO agreement as "Undertakings with little to no Potential to Affect Historic Properties". Or, CR has already been reviewed and approved by NRCS. No Potential to Affect Includes: 201, 315, 328, 329, 330, 340, 345, 353, 355, 367, 371, 372, 379, 399, 449, 450, 484, 511, 528, 554, 557, 587, 588, 590, 591, 592, 595, 629, 775, 521A, 521B, 521C, 521D, 589C. There are also 30 other practices that are included if certain criteria is met. Please consult the agreement at: https://efotg.sc.egov.usda.gov/ 50	50 Point(s)
15. 15 AD-1155 contains only practices that are non-engineering. Or, designs have already been reviewed and approved by NRCS. Engineering practices include: 309 - 313 - 316 - 317 - 319 - 320 - 326 - 350 - 351 - 353 - 356 - 360 - 362 - 367 - 372 - 373 - 374 - 375 - 376 - 378 - 388 - 395 - 396 - 410 - 412 - 428 - 430 - 441 - 442 - 443 - 449 - 450 - 460 - 464 - 500 - 516 - 520 - 521A - 521B - 521C - 521D - 533 - 554 - 558 - 560 - 561 - 570 - 574 - 575 - 578 - 580 - 582 - 584 - 585 - 587 - 590 - 592 - 600 - 605 - 606 - 607 - 608 - 614 - 620 - 629 - 632 - 633 - 634 - 635 - 636 - 638 - 642 - 654 - 655 - 657 - 659 - 670 - 672 - 735 - 775. 20	20 Point(s)
16. 16 AD-1155 contains all practices with an ESA determination of “no effect” in the CPA-52. Or, ESA consultation has already occurred and NRCS has received concurrence on the proposed practices from FWS or NMFS. 100 points 100	100 Point(s)
Established eligible practice list and hold-downs: DCs, will monitor contracts to consistently adhere to hold-downs. Hold-downs are based on CINs. Splitting a practice into multiple CINs or contracts to avoid the hold-down will not be permitted.	
See Practices and hold-downs below	0
Yakama Nation Only:	
20. Yakama Nation: All contracted practices and practice locations are included in proposal and agreement. State Programs staff has determined that there is currently adequate funds for this highest priority application and it meets the scoring threshold.	100 Point(s)

Local Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
-----------------	-----------

Yakima Integrated Plan ☐Toppenish to Teanaway RCPP Project Screening Criteria Screening Y/N 1 Is the planning unit located in the priority geographic area for the Yakima Integrated Plan Toppenish to Teanaway Project? 2 Do the proposed practices address insufficient water/drought, water quality degradation, and/or inadequate habitat for fish and wildlife? *Must answer yes to both questions to be considered for RCPP Project funding in Kittitas County	
Irrigation Efficiency	points
QUESTION 1: Yakima Integrated Plan - Toppenish to Teanaway RCPP Project Insufficient Water-Drought Criteria Insufficient Water/Drought question 1 Irrigation System Upgrade (SELECT ONLY ONE)	
1. 1a Is the planning unit in surface irrigation and converting to sprinkler irrigation system (442) or drip irrigation (441) to treat inefficient use of irrigation water?	66
1. 1b Is the planning unit in wheelline or handline sprinkler irrigation and converting to center pivot, linear or drip irrigation (441) to treat inefficient use of irrigation water?	38.5
1. 1c Does the proposed treatment consist of piping an earthen ditch to the farm or field, to treat water quantity?	66
QUESTION 2: Yakima Integrated Plan - Toppenish to Teanaway RCPP Project Insufficient Water-Drought Criteria Insufficient Water/Drought questions (SELECT ALL THAT APPLY)	
2. 2a Is the planning unit located in the Cascade Irrigation District or Kittitas Reclamation District (North Branch Canal) service areas south of Interstate 90; or is it located in the West Side Irrigating Company or Kittitas Reclamation District (South Branch Canal) service areas south of Manastash Creek?	5.5
2. 2b Does the project include irrigation water management (449)?	2.2
QUESTION 3: Yakima Integrated Plan - Toppenish to Teanaway RCPP Project Insufficient Water-Drought Criteria Water Quality Degradation questions (SELECT ALL THAT APPLY)	
3. 3a Does tailwater from surface irrigation in this unit flow directly to a river, side channel, creek or canal/lateral?	11
3. 3b Does the tailwater from surface irrigation in this unit flow directly into a creek or canal including and downstream from the Cascade Irrigation District Canal to the Yakima River; or directly into Manastash or Sorenson Creeks, or Packwood Ditch, Westside Canal, or Fogarty Ditch?	5.5
3. 3c For upgrades from surface irrigation to sprinkler or drip, does more than 50% of the planning unit exceed 2% slope?	11
QUESTION 4: Yakima Integrated Plan - Toppenish to Teanaway RCPP Project Insufficient Water-Drought Criteria Water Quality Degradation question 4 (SELECT ONLY ONE)	
4. 4a Practice treatment has minimal effect on treating stream	2.2
4. 4b Practice treatment has moderate effect on treating stream	5.5
4. 4c Practice treatment has greatest effect on treating stream	11
QUESTION 5: Yakima Integrated Plan - Toppenish to Teanaway RCPP Project Insufficient Water-Drought Criteria Inadequate Habitat for Fish & Wildlife questions (SELECT ALL THAT APPLY)	
5. 5a Does the planning unit include the Teanaway River (including its tributaries), Tucker Creek, Big Creek, Little Creek, Tillman Creek, Taneum Creek, Manastash Creek, Kittitas Valley tributaries (Dry Creek to Badger Creek) below the intersection of Cascade Canal, or the Yakima River or Yakima River side channels and are practices included to address fish habitat improvements (must answer yes and receive points for at least one of questions 10 to 13)?	5.5
5. 5b Does the planning unit include Kittitas Valley tributaries (Dry Creek to Badger Creek) upstream of the Cascade Irrigation District canal and are practices included to address fish habitat improvements (must answer yes and receive points for at least one of questions 10 to 13)?	3.3
5. 5c Project includes installation of a fish screen at the water withdrawal site or project consolidates water diversions and eliminates an unscreened diversion?	5.5
5. 5d Project includes correction of all fish passage barriers in the planning unit or serving planning unit and under control of the applicant?	5.5
QUESTION 6: Yakima Integrated Plan - Toppenish to Teanaway RCPP Project Insufficient Water-Drought Criteria Inadequate Habitat for Fish & Wildlife question 6 (SELECT ONLY ONE)	
6. 6a Practice treatment has minimal effect on treating stream	5.5

6. 6b Practice treatment has moderate effect on treating stream	11
6. 6c Practice treatment has greatest effect on treating stream	22
QUESTION 7: Yakima Integrated Plan - Toppenish to Teanaway RCPP Project Insufficient Water-Drought Criteria Inadequate Habitat for Fish & Wildlife questions 7 (SELECT ALL THAT APPLY)	
7. 7a Is applicant willing to place at least half of the water saved through conversion to a pipeline or sprinkler/drip system into the Trust Water Rights Program by donation for a period of at least 15 years?	22
7. 7b Are the practices proposed associated with an ACEP/ALE contracted parcel(s) developed through this RCPP project?	22
LIVESTOCK	
Yakima Integrated Plan - Toppenish to Teanaway RCPP Project Livestock Criteria Water Quality Degradation questions 1-2	Points
1. 1 Does this application primarily include fencing, livestock watering facilities or associated practices intended to control and manage livestock grazing on streams/rivers? 250	39
2. 2 Is the planning unit within the Teanaway Community Forest or adjacent parcels? 25	4
Yakima Integrated Plan - Toppenish to Teanaway RCPP Project Livestock Criteria Water Quality Degradation question 3 * Application may only receive points for question 3 or 6 but not both.	
3. 3a Practice treatment has minimal effect on treating stream 10	2
3. 3b Practice treatment has moderate effect on treating stream 25	4
3. 3c Practice treatment has greatest effect on treating stream 50	8
Yakima Integrated Plan - Toppenish to Teanaway RCPP Project Livestock Criteria Inadequate Habitat for Fish & Wildlife questions 4-5	
4. 4 Does the planning unit include the Teanaway River (including its tributaries), Tucker Creek, Big Creek, Little Creek, Tillman Creek, Taneum Creek, Manastash Creek, Kittitas Valley tributaries (Dry Creek to Badger Creek) below the intersection of Cascade Canal, or the Yakima River or Yakima river side channels and are practices included to address fish habitat improvements (must answer yes and receive points for one of questions 6 to 7)? 50	8
5. 5 Does the planning unit include Kittitas Valley tributaries (Dry Creek to Badger Creek) upstream of the Cascade Irrigation District canal and are practices included to address fish habitat improvements (must answer yes and receive points for one of questions 6 to 7)? 25	4
Yakima Integrated Plan - Toppenish to Teanaway RCPP Project Livestock Criteria Inadequate Habitat for Fish & Wildlife question 6 * Application may only receive points for question 3 or 6 but not both.	
6. 6a Practice treatment has minimal effect on treating stream 25	4
6. 6b Practice treatment has moderate effect on treating stream 50	8
6. 6c Practice treatment has greatest effect on treating stream 75	11
Yakima Integrated Plan - Toppenish to Teanaway RCPP Project Livestock Criteria Inadequate Habitat for Fish & Wildlife questions 7-8	
7. 7 Does this application include livestock watering facilities (well, pipeline, tank, etc.) associated with converting from an surface water diversion to groundwater to improve instream flows or to off-channel facility to improve riparian conditions?	
7. 7a Conversion from an in-stream diversion for stockwater to a groundwater source? 450	70
7. 7b Conversion from a riparian stockwater right to a groundwater source or an off-channel facility? 200	31
8. 8 Are the practices proposed associated with an ACEP/ALE or HFRP contracted parcel(s) developed through this RCPP project? 100	16
FISH AND WILDLIFE	
Yakima Integrated Plan - Toppenish to Teanaway RCPP Project Fish & Wildlife Criteria (must answer yes and receive points for at least one of questions 2 to 4)? Inadequate Habitat for Fish & Wildlife questions 1-2	Points

1. 1 Project will improve floodplain, riparian or instream habitat and the planning unit includes: Yakima River or Yakima River side channels; or Teanaway River (including its tributaries); or Tucker Creek, Big Creek, Little Creek, Tillman Creek, Taneum Creek, Manastash Creek; or Dry Creek, Currier Creek, Reecer Creek, Whiskey Creek, Naneum Creek, Coleman Creek, Cooke Creek, Caribou Creek and Parke Creek below their intersection of Cascade Canal; or Wilson Creek, or Mercer Creek below their intersections with Ellensburg Water Company Canal (must answer yes and receive points for at least one of questions 2 to 4)? 150	46
2. 2 Project includes correction of all fish passage barriers in the planning unit or serving planning unit and under control of the applicant? 150	46
Yakima Integrated Plan - Toppenish to Teanaway RCPP Project Fish & Wildlife Criteria Inadequate Habitat for Fish & Wildlife question 3	
3. 3a Practice treatment has minimal effect on treating stream 25	8
3. 3b Practice treatment has moderate effect on treating stream 50	17
3. 3c Practice treatment has greatest effect on treating stream 75	26
Yakima Integrated Plan - Toppenish to Teanaway RCPP Project Fish & Wildlife Criteria Inadequate Habitat for Fish & Wildlife questions 4-5	
4. 4 Project includes instream habitat improvements like installation of rock and/or wood habitat structures? 150	46
5. 5 Project includes practices associated with an ACEP-ALE or HFRP contracted parcel(s) developed through this RCPP project? 100	31
Yakama Nation Only:	
101. Yakama Nation: All contracted practices and practice locations are included in proposal and agreement. State Programs staff has determined that there is currently adequate funds for this highest priority application and it meets the scoring threshold.	200 Point(s)

Resource Concerns YN Fish and Wildlife - Inadequate Habitat: Inadequate Habitat - Cover/Shelter	
Access Control	
Access Road	
Agrichemical Handling Facility	
Alley Cropping	
Amendments for Treatment of Ag Waste	
Anaerobic Digester	
Animal Mortality Facility	
Anionic Polyacrylamide (PAM) Application	
Aquaculture Ponds	
Aquatic Organism Passage	
Bedding	
Brush Management	
Channel Bed Stabilization	
Clearing and Snagging	
Composting Facility	
Conservation Cover	
Conservation Crop Rotation	
Constructed Wetland	
Contour Buffer Strips	
Contour Farming	
Contour Orchard and Other Perennial Crop	
Cover Crop	
Critical Area Planting	

Cross Wind Ridges	
Cross Wind Trap Strips	
Dam	
Dam, Diversion	
Deep Tillage	
Dike	
Diversion	
Drainage Ditch Covering	
Drainage Water Management	
Dry Hydrant	
Early Successional Habitat Development/M	
Feed Management	
Fence	
Field Operations Emissions Reduction	
Filter Strip	
Firebreak	
Fish Raceway or Tank	
Fishpond Management	
Forage and Biomass Planting	
Forage Harvest Management	
Forest Management Plan - Written	
Forest Stand Improvement	
Forest Trails and Landings	
Fuel Break	
Grade Stabilization Structure	
Grassed Waterway	
Grazing Land Mechanical Treatment	
Groundwater Testing	
Heavy Use Area Protection	
Hedgerow Planting	
Herbaceous Weed Treatment	
Herbaceous Wind Barriers	
Hillside Ditch	
Integrated Pest Management	
Irrigation Canal or Lateral	
Irrigation Ditch Lining	
Irrigation Field Ditch	
Irrigation Land Leveling	
Irrigation Pipeline	
Irrigation Reservoir	
Irrigation System, Microirrigation	
Irrigation System, Surface and Subsurfac	
Irrigation System, Tailwater Recovery	
Irrigation Water Management	
Land Clearing	
Land Reclamation, Landslide Treatment	
Land Smoothing	

Lined Waterway or Outlet	
Livestock Pipeline	
Monitoring Well	
Mulching	
Multi-Story Cropping	
Nutrient Management	
Obstruction Removal	
On-Farm Secondary Containment Facility	
Open Channel	
Pond	
Pond Sealing - Clay Treatment	
Pond Sealing or Lining, Bentonite Sealant	
Pond Sealing or Lining, Flexible Membrane	
Pond Sealing or Lining, Soil Dispersant	
Precision Land Forming	
Prescribed Grazing	
Pumping Plant	
Range Planting	
Recreation Area Improvement	
Recreation Land Grading and Shaping	
Residue Mgmt, Reduced Till	
Residue Mgmt-No-Till	
Restoration of Rare or Declining Natural	
Riparian Forest Buffer	
Riparian Herbaceous Cover	
Road/Trail/Landing Closure and Treatment	
Rock Barrier	
Roof Runoff Structure	
Roofs and Covers	
Row Arrangement	
Salinity and Sodic Soil Management	
Sediment Basin	
Shallow Water Development and Management	
Short Term Storage of Animal Waste and B	
Silvopasture Establishment	
Spoil Spreading	
Spring Development	
Sprinkler System	
Stormwater Runoff Control	
Stream Crossing	
Stream Habitat Improvement and Management	
Streambank and Shoreline Protection	
Stripcropping	
Structure for Water Control	
Structures for Wildlife	
Subsurface Drain	
Surface Drain, Field Ditch	

Surface Drain, Main or Lateral	
Surface Roughening	
Terrace	
Trails and Walkways	
Tree/Shrub Establishment	
Tree/Shrub Pruning	
Tree/Shrub Site Preparation	
Underground Outlet	
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management	
Vegetated Treatment Area	
Vegetative Barrier	
Vertical Drain	
Waste Facility Closure	
Waste Recycling	
Waste Separation Facility	
Waste Storage Facility	
Waste Transfer	
Waste Treatment	
Waste Treatment Lagoon	
Water and Sediment Control Basin	
Water Harvesting Catchment	
Water Well	
Water Well Decommissioning	
Watering Facility	
Waterspreading	
Wetland Creation	
Wetland Enhancement	
Wetland Restoration	
Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management	
Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment	
Windbreak/Shelterbelt Renovation	
Woody Residue Treatment	
Kititas Co. Fish and Wildlife - Inadequate Habitat: Inadequate Habitat - Water Insufficient Water: Inefficient Use of Irrigation, Water and Water Quality Degradation: Excessive Sediment in Surface Water	Kititas Co. Hold-down
Aquatic Organism Passage	\$ 25,000
Clearing and Snagging	
Critical Area Planting	
Fence	\$ 25,000
Forest Stand Improvement	\$ 10,000
Fuel Break	\$ 14,000
Heavy Use Area Protection	\$ 1,000
Integrated Pest Management	
Irrigation Ditch Lining	
Irrigation Pipeline (Gravity fed pipeline \$35,000.00 hold-down)	\$ 25,000
Irrigation System, Microirrigation	\$ 25,000
Irrigation System, Surface and Subsurfac	\$ 15,000
Irrigation Water Management	\$ 2,000

Livestock Pipeline	\$ 15,000
Mulching	
Nutrient Management	\$ 1,000
Prescribed Grazing	\$ 10,000
Pumping Plant (Pumping Plant with VFD \$15,000.00 hold-down)	\$ 10,000
Range Planting	\$ 25,000
Restoration of Rare or Declining Natural	
Riparian Forest Buffer	
Riparian Herbaceous Cover	
Spring Development	
Sprinkler System	\$ 75,000
Stream Crossing	\$ 6,300
Stream Habitat Improvement and Managemen	\$ 25,000
Streambank and Shoreline Protection	\$ 15,000
Structure for Water Control (Fish screen \$10,000 hold-down)	\$ 5,000
Tree/Shrub Establishment	
Tree/Shrub Pruning	\$ 1,650
Tree/Shrub Site Preparation	
Water Well	\$ 25,000
Watering Facility	
Wetland Enhancement	
Wetland Restoration	
Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management	